



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Error to Circuit Court, Buchanan County.

Action by John K. Ratliff against Paris Meadows and others. Judgment for defendants, and plaintiff brings error. Affirmed.

S. M. B. Coulling, of Tazewell, *Finney & Stinson*, of Grundy, and *J. Glenn Ratliff*, for plaintiff in error.

Chase & Daugherty, of Grundy, for defendants in error.

CURRY v. LANDES et al.

Nov. 12, 1914.

[83 S. E. 396.]

1. Reformation of Instruments (§ 16*)—Right to Reform.—Where all of the claims now asserted were known to complainant at the time she entered into a compromise agreement with defendant, and complainant was represented by astute counsel, the agreement will not be reformed.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Reformation of Instruments, Cent. Dig. § 68; Dec. Dig. § 16.* 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 903-905.]

2. Reformation of Instruments (§ 45*)—Proceedings—Burden of Proof.—In a suit to reform a written contract, where complainant relied upon fraud, she has the burden of proving such fraud and bad faith on the part of defendant by the clearest and most satisfactory evidence.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Reformation of Instruments, Cent. Dig. §§ 157-193; Dec. Dig. § 45.* 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 905.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Augusta County.

Bill by G. D. Curry against John A. Landes and another. From a decree for defendants, complainant appeals. Affirmed.

Rudolph Bumgardner and *Jos. A. Glasgow*, both of Staunton, for appellant.

Carter Braxton and *J. M. Perry*, both of Staunton, for appellees.

QUINN-MARSHALL CO. v. WHITTAKER et al.

Nov. 12, 1914.

[83 S. E. 398.]

1. Fraudulent Conveyances (§ 208*)—Statutes—Effect—Subsequent Creditors.—Code 1904, § 2458, providing that every gift, assignment, conveyance, etc., given with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud cred-

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.